

Mirror symmetry for the Kazama-Suzuki models

Måns Henningson¹

*School of Natural Sciences
Institute for Advanced Study
Olden Lane
Princeton, NJ 08540*

Abstract

We study the $N = 2$ coset models in their formulation as supersymmetric gauged Wess-Zumino-Witten models. A model based on the coset G/H is invariant under a symmetry group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{k+Q}$, where k is the level of the model and Q is the dual

¹Address after September 1, 1994: *Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511*

Coxeter number of G . Using a duality-like relationship, we show that the $\mathbb{Z}_m$ orbifold of the vectorially gauged model and the $\mathbb{Z}_{\tilde{m}}$ orbifold of the axially gauged model are each others mirror partners when $m\tilde{m} = k + Q$.

1. Introduction

Mirror symmetry is an intriguing property of the space of $N = 2$ theories in two dimensions [1]. At the level of conformal field theory, it could be formulated as an isomorphism between two theories, amounting to a change of sign of the $U(1)$ generator which is part of, say, the left-moving $N = 2$ superconformal algebra. Trivial as this may seem, at the level of Lagrangian representations of $N = 2$ theories it leads to quite remarkable conclusions. In the case of sigma-models with Calabi-Yau target spaces, for example, mirror symmetry states that topologically different target spaces (with opposite Euler numbers) may give rise to equivalent physics.

Mirror symmetry has mostly been studied in the context of Calabi-Yau sigma-models and Landau-Ginzburg effective field theories. This approach is quite general, since it allows for the moduli of the theory to be varied. However, in the Calabi-Yau case one is limited to theories of integer conformal anomaly $\hat{c}$ which allow for a geometric interpretation, although mirror symmetry seems to be more related to world-sheet than to space-time physics. Furthermore, it has been difficult to understand the exact mirror map, since the precise form of the Calabi-Yau and Landau-Ginzburg models is not known at the critical point. In fact, the only rigorously established example of mirror symmetry, the Greene-Plesser construction [2], is based on another type of model, namely tensor products of the exactly solvable $N = 2$ minimal models [3]. This example relies on certain equivalences between orbifolds of the minimal models, which have been established by algebraic methods [4][5].

However, the minimal models could be regarded as a special case of the Kazama-Suzuki models, *i. e.* $N = 2$ coset models [6]. As such they have a Lagrangian formulation in terms of supersymmetric gauged Wess-Zumino-Witten models [7]. It should therefore be possible to understand mirror symmetry for these models by a more conceptual path integral argument. In fact, it has been conjectured that mirror symmetry for the minimal models could be understood as a duality in the corresponding $SU(2)/U(1)$ coset model [8]. Such duality transformations exchange chiral and twisted chiral super-

fields, and therefore implement the change of sign of a $U(1)$ charge that characterizes mirror symmetry [9].

The object of the present article is to make this conjectured relationship precise. In section two, we review the Lagrangian formulation of the general G/H $N = 2$ coset models as supersymmetric gauged Wess-Zumino-Witten models. In general, there are two inequivalent possibilities, corresponding to an axial or vector gauging of a $U(1)$ factor. We show that these models are invariant under a symmetry group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{k+Q}$, where k is the level of the model and Q is the dual Coxeter number of G . In section three, we perform a duality transformation which establishes mirror symmetry exactly to all orders in $1/k$ between orbifolds with respect to subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}_{k+Q}$ of the vectorially and axially gauged models.

2. The Lagrangian formulation of the Kazama-Suzuki models

The $N = 1$ coset models [10] constitute an important class of superconformal field theories. Such a model is specified by a Lie group G with a subgroup H and a positive integer k called the level. Kazama and Suzuki [6] investigated the conditions under which these models actually possess $N = 2$ supersymmetry and found that this happens exactly when the left coset space G/H is a Kähler space. The most important property for our purposes is that in these cases the group H is always of the form

$$H \simeq H^0 \times H', \quad (1)$$

where H^0 is isomorphic to $U(1)$.

We will now give a Lagrangian description of these models as supersymmetric, gauged Wess-Zumino-Witten models [7]. The G Wess-Zumino-Witten model [11] is invariant under global (or even chiral) $G \times G$ transformations acting on the fundamental G valued field g as

$$g \rightarrow ugv \quad \text{for } u, v \in G. \quad (2)$$

Not all of this symmetry may be gauged, however, but only so called anomaly-free subgroups [12]. We need to embed a subgroup H of G of the form (1) in $G \times G$ in an anomaly-free way. For the H' factor of H there is generically only one choice, namely

$$g \rightarrow u^{-1}gu \quad \text{for } u \in H'. \quad (3)$$

For the abelian factor H^0 of H we have the two possibilities, usually referred to as vector and axial gauging. We will treat these cases in parallel, with the upper (lower) sign always referring to the vectorially (axially) gauged model. The H^0 gauge transformations act as

$$g \rightarrow u^{\mp 1}gu \quad \text{for } u \in H^0. \quad (4)$$

The supersymmetric gauged Wess-Zumino-Witten model contains bosonic fields A and g and fermionic fields ψ_+ and ψ_- . The field A is a connection on an H bundle over the world-sheet. The fields g and ψ_+ and ψ_- are sections of associated bundles

with typical fibers G and $\text{Lie } G/H$, *i. e.* the orthogonal complement of $\text{Lie } H$ in $\text{Lie } G$, respectively. The gauge group acts on g as we described in the previous paragraph and on the fermionic fields as

$$\psi_+ \rightarrow u^{-1}\psi_+u \quad \text{for } u \in H \quad (5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_- &\rightarrow u^{-1}\psi_-u \quad \text{for } u \in H' \\ \psi_- &\rightarrow u^{\mp 1}\psi_-u^{\pm 1} \quad \text{for } u \in H^0. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Finally, the connection A transforms in the usual way as

$$A \rightarrow u^{-1}du + u^{-1}Au \quad \text{for } u \in H, \quad (7)$$

where d is the exterior derivative on the world-sheet.

The action of the model is

$$S^\pm(g, A, \psi_+, \psi_-) = S_B^\pm(g, A) + S_F^\pm(A, \psi_+, \psi_-), \quad (8)$$

where the bosonic part is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_B^\pm(g, A) &= kS_{\text{WZW}}(g) + \frac{k}{2\pi} \int d^2z \text{Tr} \left((A'_z + A_z^0)g^{-1}\partial_z g - (A'_z \pm A_z^0)\partial_z g g^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - A'_z A'_z - A_z^0 A_z^0 + (A'_z + A_z^0)g^{-1}(A'_z \pm A_z^0)g \right) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

and the fermionic part by

$$\begin{aligned} S_F^\pm(A, \psi_+, \psi_-) &= \frac{ik}{4\pi} \int d^2z \text{Tr} \left(\psi_+ (\partial_z \psi_+ + [A'_z + A_z^0, \psi_+]) + \psi_- (\partial_z \psi_- + [A'_z \pm A_z^0, \psi_-]) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Here we have decomposed the connection as $A = A^0 + A'$, where $A^0 \in \text{Lie } H^0$ and $A' \in \text{Lie } H'$. The relevant properties of the Wess-Zumino-Witten action $S_{\text{WZW}}(g)$ are summarized by the Polyakov-Wiegmann formula [13]

$$S_{\text{WZW}}(gh) = S_{\text{WZW}}(g) + S_{\text{WZW}}(h) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2z \text{Tr} \left(g^{-1}\partial_z g \partial_z h h^{-1} \right), \quad (11)$$

which is valid for $g, h \in G$. For the special case of $h \in H^0$ we have

$$S_{\text{WZW}}(h) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2 z \text{Tr} \left(-\frac{1}{2} h^{-1} \partial_z h \partial_{\bar{z}} h h^{-1} \right). \quad (12)$$

It is straightforward to verify that the action (8) is invariant at the classical level under local H gauge transformations acting as in (3)-(7), and we choose the measure in the fermionic path integral so that this symmetry is non-anomalous. Furthermore, the model is invariant under infinitesimal left- and right-moving $N = 1$ supersymmetry transformations, with parameters ϵ_+ and ϵ_- respectively, acting as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta g &= i\epsilon_- g \psi_+ + i\epsilon_+ \psi_- g \\ \delta \psi_+ &= \epsilon_- (1 - \Pi_H) (g^{-1} \partial_z g + g^{-1} (A'_z \pm A_z^0) g - i\psi_+ \psi_+) \\ \delta \psi_- &= \epsilon_+ (1 - \Pi_H) (\partial_{\bar{z}} g g^{-1} - g (A'_{\bar{z}} + A_{\bar{z}}^0) g^{-1} + i\psi_- \psi_-) \\ \delta A &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Here Π_H is the orthogonal projection of $\text{Lie } G$ on $\text{Lie } H$. These formulas are gauge covariant, although not manifestly so. By inspection of the action (8), one may in fact show [14][15] that the model is invariant under left- and right-moving $N = 2$ supersymmetry when G/H is a Kähler space.

Finally, we will consider transformations of the form

$$\begin{aligned} g &\rightarrow \alpha g \\ \psi_- &\rightarrow \alpha \psi_- \alpha^{-1} \\ \psi_+ &\rightarrow \psi_+ \\ A &\rightarrow A, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $\alpha \in H^0$ is a constant. These transformations commute with the gauge transformations (3)-(7) and the supersymmetry transformations (13). We could have added an arbitrary gauge transformation, but the form that we have given will allow us to carry out the mirror symmetry transformation in the next section exactly to all orders in $1/k$.

The action (8) is in general not invariant under the transformations (14), though. The fermionic part (10) is invariant at the classical level, but at the quantum level the

symmetry breaks down due to the chiral anomaly. The anomalous variation is

$$S_{\text{F}}^{\pm}(A, \psi_+, \psi_-) \rightarrow S_{\text{F}}^{\pm}(A, \psi_+, \psi_-) \mp \gamma \frac{Q}{2\pi} \int d^2z \text{Tr} \left(T^0 F_{z\bar{z}}^0 \right), \quad (15)$$

where $F_{z\bar{z}}^0 = \partial_z A_{\bar{z}}^0 - \partial_{\bar{z}} A_z^0$ is the Lie H^0 component of the curvature of A , and Q denotes the dual Coxeter number of G . We have defined γ by $\alpha = \exp \gamma T^0$, where $T^0 \in \text{Lie } H^0$ is normalized so that $\exp 2\pi T^0$ is the identity element of H^0 . Note that although the action is proportional to k , the anomaly, being a one-loop quantum effect, is independent of k .

It is not difficult to understand why Q enters in the above expression: The anomaly is proportional to the sum of the squares of the $U(1)$ charges of the fermions. But the fermions, which take their values in $\text{Lie } G/H$, transform under H^0 in the restriction of the adjoint representation of G . Furthermore, $\text{Lie } H$ is uncharged under H^0 acting in this way. The anomaly is therefore proportional to the sum of the squares of the charges of G under H^0 acting in the adjoint representation, *i. e.* the dual Coxeter number of G .

We now turn to the bosonic part (9), which is non-invariant already at the classical level and transforms under (14) as

$$S_{\text{B}}^{\pm}(g, A) \rightarrow S_{\text{B}}^{\pm}(g, A) \mp \gamma \frac{k}{2\pi} \int d^2z \text{Tr} \left(T^0 F_{z\bar{z}}^0 \right). \quad (16)$$

There are no quantum corrections to this result, though, since we take the integration measure for the path integral over g to be a product of a Haar measure for each point on the world-sheet, so that it is invariant under left (and right) multiplication.

The complete action (8) thus transforms under (14) as

$$S^{\pm}(g, A, \psi_+, \psi_-) \rightarrow S^{\pm}(g, A, \psi_+, \psi_-) \mp \gamma(k + Q)c_1, \quad (17)$$

where $c_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2z \text{Tr} (T^0 F_{z\bar{z}}^0) \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the first Chern class of the $U(1)$ bundle over the world-sheet on which A^0 is a connection. We see that the action changes by a multiple of 2π if we take γ to be a multiple of $2\pi/(k + Q)$. But this is as good as being invariant, since the action only enters as $\exp iS$ in the path integral. Note that the Wess-Zumino-Witten action $S_{\text{WZW}}(g)$ is only well-defined modulo a multiple of $2\pi i$ in any case [11].

Since $\gamma = 2\pi$ corresponds to the identity transformation, we have thus shown that the model is invariant under a $\mathbb{Z}_{k+Q}$ quantum symmetry.

It will be convenient to change variables according to

$$\begin{aligned} g &= ht \\ \psi_- &= h\hat{\psi}_-h^{-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where $h \in H^0$. The decomposition of g is clearly not unique, but amounts to choosing a section t of G when G is regarded as a $U(1)$ bundle over the left coset space G/H^0 . The transformations (14) now act as

$$h \rightarrow \alpha h, \tag{19}$$

whereas the remaining fields A , t , ψ_+ and $\hat{\psi}_-$ are invariant. Because of the chiral anomaly, the change of variables from ψ_- to $\hat{\psi}_-$ gives rise to a Jacobian from the measure in the fermionic path integral. We should therefore add the term

$$S_{\text{c.a.}}^{\pm}(h, A) = \frac{Q}{2\pi} \int d^2z \text{Tr} \left(-\frac{1}{2} h^{-1} \partial_z h \partial_{\bar{z}} h h^{-1} \mp A_z^0 \partial_{\bar{z}} h h^{-1} \right) \tag{20}$$

to the action (8). The complete action is thus

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{eff}}^{\pm}(h, t, A, \psi_+, \hat{\psi}_-) &= S_{\text{B}}^{\pm}(ht, A) + S_{\text{F}}^{\pm}(A, \psi_+, h\hat{\psi}_-h^{-1}) + S_{\text{c.a.}}^{\pm}(h, A) \\ &= kS_{\text{WZW}}(t) + \frac{k}{2\pi} \int d^2z \text{Tr} \left(-\frac{1}{2} h^{-1} \partial_z h \partial_{\bar{z}} h h^{-1} - h^{-1} \partial_z h \partial_{\bar{z}} t t^{-1} + (A'_z + A_z^0) t^{-1} \partial_z t \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (A'_z + A_z^0) t^{-1} h^{-1} \partial_z h t - (A'_z \pm A_z^0) \partial_{\bar{z}} t t^{-1} \mp A_z^0 \partial_{\bar{z}} h h^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - A'_z A'_z - A_z^0 A_z^0 + (A'_z + A_z^0) t^{-1} (A'_z \pm A_z^0) t \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{ik}{4\pi} \int d^2z \text{Tr} \left(\psi_+ (\partial_{\bar{z}} \psi_+ + [A'_z + A_z^0, \psi_+]) + \hat{\psi}_- (\partial_z \hat{\psi}_- + [A'_z \pm A_z^0 + h^{-1} \partial_z h, \hat{\psi}_-]) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{Q}{2\pi} \int d^2z \text{Tr} \left(-\frac{1}{2} h^{-1} \partial_z h \partial_{\bar{z}} h h^{-1} \mp A_z^0 \partial_{\bar{z}} h h^{-1} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

From a conformal field theory invariant under some discrete group of symmetries, we may construct other conformal field theories by taking an orbifold of the original theory [16]. In a Lagrangian formulation, this amounts to allowing the fields to be well-defined only modulo the action of the symmetry group. In our case, we may construct orbifolds of the theory by allowing h to be well-defined only modulo the action of some subgroup of the $\mathbb{Z}_{k+Q}$ symmetry acting as in (19).

3. The mirror transformation

In this section, we will show that the $\mathbb{Z}_m$ orbifold of the axially gauged G/H model is the mirror partner of the $\mathbb{Z}_{\tilde{m}}$ orbifold of the vectorially gauged model when $m\tilde{m} = k+Q$, where k is the level of the model and Q is the dual Coxeter number of G .

The proof of mirror symmetry is based on an argument which closely resembles the familiar duality between non-linear sigma models with isometries [9]. The isometry in our case corresponds to a global $U(1)$ transformation acting on the field h as in (19). The crucial property is that h only enters in the combinations $V_z = h^{-1}\partial_z h$ and $V_{\bar{z}} = \partial_{\bar{z}} h h^{-1}$ in the action (21). (However, as we have seen in the previous section, the action is not invariant under a global $U(1)$ transformation acting on h , but rather transforms with a term proportional to the first Chern class of the $U(1)$ bundle on which A^0 is a connection.) We may therefore give an equivalent formulation of the theory by changing variables from $h \in H^0$ to $V_z, V_{\bar{z}} \in \text{Lie } H^0$ in (21) and adding the term

$$S_{\tilde{\phi}}(\tilde{\phi}, V) = \frac{k+Q}{2\pi} \int d^2z \text{Tr} \left(\frac{\tilde{\phi}}{2} T^0 (\partial_z V_{\bar{z}} - \partial_{\bar{z}} V_z) \right). \quad (22)$$

Here $\tilde{\phi}$ is defined modulo $2\pi/\tilde{m}$ and $T^0 \in \text{Lie } H^0$ is again normalized so that $\exp 2\pi T^0$ is the identity element of H^0 . The resulting action is

$$\begin{aligned} S_1^{\pm}(\tilde{\phi}, V, t, A, \psi_+, \hat{\psi}_-) &= k S_{\text{WZW}}(t) \\ &+ \frac{k}{2\pi} \int d^2z \text{Tr} \left(-\frac{1}{2} V_z V_{\bar{z}} - V_z \partial_{\bar{z}} t t^{-1} + (A'_z + A_z^0) t^{-1} \partial_z t + (A'_{\bar{z}} + A_{\bar{z}}^0) t^{-1} V_z t \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (A'_z \pm A_z^0) \partial_{\bar{z}} t t^{-1} \mp A_z^0 V_{\bar{z}} - A'_z A'_{\bar{z}} - A_z^0 A_{\bar{z}}^0 + (A'_z + A_z^0) t^{-1} (A'_z \pm A_z^0) t \right) \\ &+ \frac{ik}{4\pi} \int d^2z \text{Tr} \left(\psi_+ (\partial_{\bar{z}} \psi_+ + [A'_z + A_z^0, \psi_+]) + \hat{\psi}_- (\partial_z \hat{\psi}_- + [A'_z \pm A_z^0 + V_z, \hat{\psi}_-]) \right) \\ &+ \frac{Q}{2\pi} \int d^2z \text{Tr} \left(-\frac{1}{2} V_z V_{\bar{z}} \mp A_z^0 V_{\bar{z}} \right) + \frac{k+Q}{2\pi} \int d^2z \text{Tr} \left(\frac{\tilde{\phi}}{2} T^0 (\partial_z V_{\bar{z}} - \partial_{\bar{z}} V_z) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

If we perform the path integral over $\tilde{\phi}$, we will get back the original action (21). Namely, $\tilde{\phi}$ acts as a Lagrange multiplier for the constraint $\partial_z V_{\bar{z}} - \partial_{\bar{z}} V_z = 0$, which we may solve locally as $V_z = h^{-1}\partial_z h$ and $V_{\bar{z}} = \partial_{\bar{z}} h h^{-1}$ with $h \in H^0$. Although V is flat it may have non-trivial holonomies around non-contractible cycles of the world-sheet, *i. e.* h need

not be well-defined globally. However, since $\tilde{\phi}$ is a compact variable with period $2\pi/\tilde{m}$, the action (23) contains a term

$$n_C m \oint_C \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{2} T^0 V \right) \quad (24)$$

for each cycle C of the world-sheet, where n_C denotes the winding number of $\tilde{\phi}$ around C [9]. The path integral over $\tilde{\phi}$ includes a sum over the winding numbers n_C , which will constrain the holonomies $\oint_C V$ to be multiples of $2\pi T^0/m$. The field $h \in H^0$ is thus well-defined modulo the action of the $\mathbb{Z}_m$ subgroup of $U(1)$ acting as in (19), so the action (23) indeed describes the $\mathbb{Z}_m$ orbifold of the original theory.

To obtain the mirror theory, we integrate out the field V from the action (23). The path integral over $V_{\bar{z}}$ gives a delta function with argument

$$(k + Q)(-V_z \mp 2A_z^0 - T^0 \partial_z \tilde{\phi}). \quad (25)$$

The path integral over V_z is now trivial to perform, and we get the dual action

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{dual}}^{\pm}(\tilde{h}, t, A, \psi_+, \hat{\psi}_-) &= k S_{\text{WZW}}(t) + \frac{k}{2\pi} \int d^2 z \text{Tr} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \tilde{h}^{-1} \partial_z \tilde{h} \partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{h} \tilde{h}^{-1} - \tilde{h}^{-1} \partial_z \tilde{h} \partial_{\bar{z}} t t^{-1} + (A'_z + A_z^0) t^{-1} \partial_z t \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (A'_z + A_z^0) t^{-1} \tilde{h}^{-1} \partial_z \tilde{h} t - (A'_z \pm A_z^0) \partial_{\bar{z}} t t^{-1} \mp A_z^0 \partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{h} \tilde{h}^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - A'_z A'_z - A_z^0 A_z^0 + (A'_z + A_z^0) t^{-1} (A'_z \pm A_z^0) t \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{ik}{4\pi} \int d^2 z \text{Tr} \left(\psi_+ (\partial_{\bar{z}} \psi_+ + [A'_z + A_z^0, \psi_+]) + \hat{\psi}_- (\partial_z \hat{\psi}_- + [A'_z \pm A_z^0 + \tilde{h}^{-1} \partial_z \tilde{h}, \hat{\psi}_-]) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{Q}{2\pi} \int d^2 z \text{Tr} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \tilde{h}^{-1} \partial_z \tilde{h} \partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{h} \tilde{h}^{-1} \mp A_z^0 \partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{h} \tilde{h}^{-1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Here we have introduced $\tilde{h} = \exp -\tilde{\phi} T^0$, which thus is well defined as an element of H^0 modulo the action of the $\mathbb{Z}_{\tilde{m}}$ subgroup. We now note that

$$S_{\text{dual}}^{\pm}(\tilde{h}, t, A, \psi_+, \hat{\psi}_-) = S_{\text{eff}}^{\mp}(\tilde{h}, t, A, \psi_+, \hat{\psi}_-). \quad (27)$$

In many situations, such as the two-dimensional black hole solution to string theory [17] or the path integral derivation of duality for non-linear sigma models [9], the procedure of integrating out non-dynamical vector fields is only correct classically. The

reason is that the coefficient of the $V_z V_{\bar{z}}$ term in the analogue of (23) in general depends on the other fields of the theory. This coefficient will appear in the argument of the delta function in (25) and thus gives rise to a Jacobian factor when the path integral over V_z is carried out. To first order in $1/k$ this yields a non-trivial dilaton potential [18], but there will be further corrections to (26) to all orders in $1/k$. In our case, however, the action (26) is correct to all orders in $1/k$, since the coefficient of the $V_z V_{\bar{z}}$ term in (23) is a constant. This is the motivation for the particular choice of gauge that we made in (14). We have thus shown that the $\mathbb{Z}_m$ orbifold of the axially gauged model is the mirror partner of the $\mathbb{Z}_{\bar{m}}$ orbifold of the vectorially gauged model.

Finally, we remark that in the case of for example $G/H \simeq SU(2)/U(1)$ the axially and vectorially gauged models are really equivalent, being related by a change of variables which leaves the measure in the path integral invariant. We thus recover mirror symmetry for the $N = 2$ minimal models [4][5]. For a generic G/H , the axial and vector gaugings are inequivalent, however.

I have benefitted from discussions with P. Berglund and E. Witten. This research was supported by the Swedish Natural Science Research Council (NFR).

References

- [1] For a review and further references, see the contributions in *Essays on Mirror Manifolds*, ed. S. T. Yau, (International Press, Hong Kong 1992).
- [2] B. R. Greene and M. R. Plesser, Nucl. Phys. **B338** 1990 15.
- [3] A. Cappelli, C. Itzykson and J.-B. Zuber, Nucl. Phys. **B280** [FS18] (1987) 445.
- [4] D. Gepner and Z. Qiu, Nucl. Phys. **B285** [FS 19] (1987) 423.
- [5] D. Gepner, Phys. Lett. **199B** (1987) 380;
D. Gepner, Nucl. Phys. **B296** (1987) 757.
- [6] Y. Kazama and H. Suzuki, Nucl. Phys. **B321** (1989) 232;
Y. Kazama and H. Suzuki, Phys. Lett. **216B** (1989) 112.
- [7] H. Schnitzer, Nucl. Phys. **B324** (1989) 412.
- [8] E. B. Kiritsis, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A6** (1991) 2871.
- [9] M. Roček and E. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. **B373** (1992) 630.
- [10] P. Goddard, A. Kent and D. Olive, Comm. Math. Phys. **103** (1986) 105.
- [11] E. Witten, Comm. Math. Phys. **92** (1984) 455.
- [12] E. Witten, Comm. Math. Phys. **144** (1992) 189.
- [13] A. M. Polyakov and P. B. Wiegmann, Phys. Lett. **B131** (1983) 121.
- [14] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B371** (1992) 191.
- [15] T. Nakatsu, Prog. Theor. Phys. **87** (1992) 795.
- [16] L. Dixon, J. Harvey, C. Vafa and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B261** (1985) 678;
L. Dixon, J. Harvey, C. Vafa and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B274** (1986) 285.

- [17] E. Witten, Phys. Rev. **D44** (1991) 314.
- [18] T. Buscher, Phys. Lett. **B201** (1988) 466.